Latest infection status, etc. (1)

OTrends in the number of new cases of infection OTrends in the testing system (Per 100,000 of the population) (No. of tests, positive rate) 6/2~6/8 6/9~6/15 6/16~6/22 5/24~5/30 5/31~6/6 $6/7 \sim 6/13$ 4.3% ↓ 488,963↓ 3.6% ↓ 507,487 2.5% ↓ Nationwide 12.89 (16,267) ↓ 9.41 (11,876) ↓ 7.91 (9,976)597,295↑ Hokkaido (428)28.642↓ 10.8% ↓ 21,926↓ 8.3% ↓ 18.085↓ 5.4% ↓ 29.45 16.65 (874)8.15 (1,546)9.29 (683)7.85 (577) \downarrow 7.17 (527) \downarrow 52,4201 1.7% ↓ 50,477↓ 1.5% ↓ 49,471↓ 1.2% ↓ Saitama 31,110↓ Chiba 2.4% ↓ 18,032↓ 3.8% ↑ 31,305 ↑ 2.1% ↓ 11.04 (691)10.91 (683)12.03 (753)20.41 87,081↓ 4.5% ↓ 99,0501 3.0% ↓ 2.6% ↓ Tokyo 20.53 (2,858)18.90 (2,631)(2,841)105,266 ↑ (1,268)16.22 14.45 (1,329)13.79 21,558↓ 7.5% ↓ 20,595↓ 7.0% ↓ 23,997 5.8% ↓ Kanagawa (1,492)19.80 (1,495)12.39 (936) \downarrow 8.20 (619) \downarrow 6.7% ↓ Aichi 22,715↓ 11.3% ↓ 16.428↓ 10.2% ↓ 15,855↓ 11.65 (301)8.48 (219)3.99 (103)3.1% ↓ Kyoto 8.034↓ 4.8% ↓ 7,213↓ 4.2% ↓ 7,859 (1,209)Osaka 13.72 \downarrow 9.35 (824) \downarrow 7.36 (648)62,011 3.0% ↓ 45,396↓ 2.7% ↓ 53,970 1.7% ↓ Hyogo 8.74 (478) \downarrow 5.25 (287) \downarrow 3.44 (188)15,463↓ 5.3% ↓ 14,377↓ 3.7% ↓ 15,2011 2.1% ↓ 6.03 10.68 (545) \downarrow (308) \downarrow 4.76 (243)Fukuoka 19,872↓ 6.6% ↓ 16,536↓ 3.7% ↓ 16,041↓ 2.1% ↓ Okinawa 102.89 62.42 (907)40.40 (587)15,7081 6.3% ↓ (1,495)10.2% ↓ 15,961 1

^{* ↑, ↓,} and → indicate an increase, a decrease, and the same level, respectively, compared to the previous week.

Latest infection status, etc. (2)

OTrends in the numbers of inpatients

OTrends in the numbers of severe patients

[No. of inpatients (Ratio to the no. of secured beds)]

[No. of inpatients (Ratio to the no. of secured beds)]

	6/1	6/8	6/15	6/1	6/8	6/15
Nationwide	14,276 (40.3%) ↓	11,751 (33.0%) 🗸	9,092 (25.5%) ↓	1,643 (34.0%) ↓	1,359 (28.0%) 🗸	1,131 (23.9%) 🗸
Hokkaido	1,059 (53.5%) ↑	1,028 (51.9%) 🗸	903 (43.2%) 🗸	56 (38.6%) ↓	39 (26.9%) ↓	32 (21.2%) ↓
Saitama	587 (35.7%) ↓	493 (30.0%) 🗸	393 (23.9%) 🗸	44 (27.0%) ↓	40 (24.5%) ↓	30 (18.3%) ↓
Chiba	340 (25.0%) ↓	345 (27.3%) ↑	327 (25.7%) ↓	17 (18.1%) \downarrow	21 (20.8%) \uparrow	21 (20.8%) →
Tokyo	1,899 (31.4%) 🗸	1,592 (26.3%) \downarrow	1,312 (21.7%) 🗸	504 (41.8%) 🗸	424 (35.1%) 🗸	353 (29.2%) ↓
Kanagawa	565 (31.6%) ↓	542 (30.3%) 🗸	497 (27.8%) 🗸	66 (33.2%) ↓	60 (30.2%) 🗸	43 (21.6%) ↓
Aichi	946 (62.4%) 🗸	853 (56.3%) 🗸	689 (45.5%) ↓	95 (65.1%) ↑	92 (63.0%) 🗸	74 (50.71%) ↓
Kyoto	211 (42.4%) 🗸	192 (38.6%) 🗸	165 (33.1%) 🗸	24 (27.9%) 🗸	19 (22.1%) 🔱	19 (22.1%) →
Osaka	1,410 (52.6%) 🗸	1,067 (39.6%) 🗸	808 (29.9%) 🗸	317 (40.0%) 🗸	251 (31.3%) 🔱	240 (28.7%) 🗸
Hyogo	597 (51.9%) ↓	452 (39.3%) ↓	302 (26.2%) ↓	90 (66.2%) 🗸	66 (48.5%) ↓	37 (27.2%) ↓
Fukuoka	905 (67.2%) 🗸	753 (55.0%) 🗸	437 (31.8%) 🗸	75 (43.6%) ↓	54 (28.9%) ↓	43 (22.8%) ↓
Okinawa	608 (99.7%) ↑	623 (88.7%) ↑	609 (88.8%) ↓	88 (86.3%) ↑	80 (81.6%) 🗸	75 (79.8%) ↓

^{* &}quot;Trends in the numbers of inpatients" are based on the "Surveillance of the Status of Care for Patients with the Novel Coronavirus Infection and the Number of Beds," by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. In this surveillance, the results as of 0:00 on the presentation date are published.

↑, ↓, and → indicate an increase, a decrease, and the same level, respectively, compared to the previous week.























